## CORRECTION

### **Open Access**

# Correction: Ten years of implementation outcomes research: a scoping review



Enola K. Proctor<sup>1\*</sup>, Alicia C. Bunger<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca Lengnick-Hall<sup>3</sup>, Donald R. Gerke<sup>4</sup>, Jared K. Martin<sup>5</sup>, Rebecca J. Phillips<sup>6</sup> and Julia C. Swanson<sup>7</sup>

### Correction: Implement Sci 18, 31 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-023-01286-z

In the original version of this article [1], there was an error in figure labelling. In the section entitled 'Conceptualization of implementation outcomes in the 2011 paper,' it was mentioned that 'the taxonomy is summarized in Fig. 1.' Whereas the Authors intended to convey that the taxonomy was summarized in the said 2011 paper, not in Fig. 1.

Therefore, the correct statement in the article should have read, "...Sustainability is the extent to which an implementation target is maintained or institutionalized within a service setting. The 2011 paper, which originally introduced this taxonomy, encouraged further scholarship of this initial conceptualization, both in terms of the number of outcomes and in further refinements to their

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-023-01286-z.

\*Correspondence:

- Enola K. Proctor
- ekp@wustl.edu

- <sup>2</sup> College of Social Work, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA
- <sup>3</sup> The Brown School, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, USA
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Social Work, College of Arts and Sciences, University
- of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, USA

<sup>5</sup> College of Education & Human Ecology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

<sup>7</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

operationalization [1]. Cautioning that the original taxonomy included 'only the more obvious,' that paper projected that new concepts would emerge as newly defined implementation outcomes [1].'

The original article [1] has been updated.

Published online: 25 October 2023

#### Reference

 Proctor EK, Bunger AC, Lengnick-Hall R, et al. Ten years of implementation outcomes research: a scoping review. Implement Sci. 2023;18:31. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13012-023-01286-z.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Brown School, Shanti Khinduka Distinguished Professor Emerita, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> College of Liberal Arts & Sciences, Western Oregon University, Monmouth, OR, USA